

HWS: Ch. 2 # 17, 22, 29

①

①7 Let E be the set of all $x \in [0, 1]$ whose decimal expansion contains only the digits 4 and 7. Is E countable? Is E dense in $[0, 1]$? Is E compact? Is E perfect?

pf/ Not countable: Assume E is and write $E = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ where each $x_n = 0.x_{n_1}x_{n_2}x_{n_3}\dots$ where $x_{n_i} \in \{4, 7\} \forall n, i$. Define $y = 0.y_1y_2y_3\dots$ where $y_i = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } x_{i,i} = 7 \\ 7 & \text{if } x_{i,i} = 4 \end{cases}$.

Then $y \in E$ but $y \neq x_n \forall n$. Thus E is not countable.

Not dense: Since $\inf_{x \in E} d(0, x) = 0.4$, E cannot be dense in E .

Compact: Clearly E is bounded as $E \subset [0, 1]$. Let $p \in E$. Write $p = 0.p_1p_2p_3\dots$, $p_i \in \{4, 7\}$. Let $g_i = 0.p_1\dots p_i$ and define $r_i = 2|p - g_i|$. Then $g_i \in B_{r_i}(p)$, and $B_{r_i}(p) \supset B_{r_{i+1}}(p) \forall i$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open nbhd of p , then since \mathcal{U} is open $\exists B_{r_i}(p) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Thus $g_i \in \mathcal{U}$, and since

$g_i \neq p_i$, we arrive at p being a limit point of E . Thus every point of E is a limit point of E .

Let $x \notin E$. Then $x = 0.a_1 a_2 \dots$ with $a_i \neq 4, 7$ for at least one i . If $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 9\}$ the nbhd $N_{10^{-i}}(x) \subset E^c$ so $x \notin E'$, if $a_i \in \{3, 5, 6, 8\}$ let a_j be the first term s.t. $a_j \neq 0$. Then $N_{10^{-j}}(x) \subset E^c$. $\therefore E$ is closed.

$\therefore E$ is compact & perfect.



(22) A metric space is called separable if it contains a countable dense subset. Show \mathbb{R}^k is separable.

Pf/ Claim: \mathbb{Q}^k is dense in \mathbb{R}^k .

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open. Since U is open $\exists B_r(x) \subset U$ for some $x \in U$, $r > 0$. If $x \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ we are done, if not write $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$. Let $r_1, \dots, r_k > 0$ be s.t. $\sum_1^k r_i^2 < r^2$. By the density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , $\forall i$ we can find $g_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $x_i < g_i < x_i + r_i$. Let $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$. Then $\|g - x\|^2 = (g_1 - x_1)^2 + \dots + (g_k - x_k)^2 < r_1^2 + \dots + r_k^2 < r^2$

Thus $g \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Q}^k$. $\therefore \mathbb{Q}^k$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^k . ③
pic

②⑨ Prove that every open set in \mathbb{R} is the union of an at most countable collection of disjoint segments.

pf Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open. Let $x \in \mathcal{U}$. $\exists y > x$ s.t. $(x, y) \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $\exists z < x$ s.t. $(z, x) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Define a_x & b_x by:
 $a_x = \inf \{z \mid (z, x) \subset \mathcal{U}\}$ & $b_x = \sup \{y \mid (x, y) \subset \mathcal{U}\}$.

Then $x \in I_x := (a_x, b_x)$. Claim: ① $I_x \subset \mathcal{U}$ & ② $a_x, b_x \notin \mathcal{U}$.

① Let $w \in I_x$. wlog assume $x < w < b_x$. Then by def of b_x $\exists y > w$ s.t. $(x, y) \subset \mathcal{U}$, thus $w \in \mathcal{U}$.
 $\therefore I_x \subset \mathcal{U}$.

② Suppose $b_x \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U} is open $\exists r > 0$ s.t. $B_r(b_x) = (b_x - r, b_x + r) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Thus $(x, b_x + r) \subset \mathcal{U}$ which is a contradiction to the def. of b_x . Thus $b_x \notin \mathcal{U}$.
Similarly $a_x \notin \mathcal{U}$.

Claim: $\{I_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{U}}$ is a countable disjoint collection of open intervals such that $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} I_x$.

First note that if $x \neq y$ and $y \in I_x$, then $I_x = I_y$. So the collection is disjoint. Clearly $\bigcup_{x \in U} I_x = U$ since each $x \in U$ is contained in its I_x .

By density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , each I_x contains a rational number, thus we have a correspondence between $\{I_x\}_{x \in U}$ and a subset of \mathbb{Q} .

$\therefore \{I_x\}_{x \in U}$ is countable.

